

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FI | LING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|-------------------------|------|------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|--|
| 10/825,361 04/16/2004 | | 04/16/2004 | Ji Hyun Hwang | MRE-0045.1 | MRE-0045.1 8094 | |
| 34610 | 7590 | 05/22/2006 | | EXAM | EXAMINER | |
| FLESHNER P.O. BOX 22 | | , LLP | CHANG, RIG | CHANG, RICK KILTAE | | |
| CHANTILL | | 0153 | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | | |
| | • | | | 3729 | | |

DATE MAILED: 05/22/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| _ |
|---|

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | | |
|--|--|------------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| | 10/825,361 | HWANG ET AL. | | | | | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | | |
| | Rick K. Chang | 3729 | | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply | The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply | | | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | | | | | | | |
| Status | | | | | | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16 Ag | oril 2004 | | | | | | |
| | action is non-final. | | | | | | |
| 3)☐ Since this application is in condition for allowan | | secution as to the merits is | | | | | |
| closed in accordance with the practice under E | | | | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | , | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| 4) Claim(s) <u>15-32</u> is/are pending in the application | | | | | | | |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw | vn from consideration. | | | | | | |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | | | | |
| 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. | | | | | | | |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | | | | | | |
| 8) Claim(s) <u>15-32</u> are subject to restriction and/or | election requirement. | | | | | | |
| Application Papers | | | | | | | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner | ·. | | | | | | |
| 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce | epted or b) objected to by the E | Examiner. | | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the o | | | | | | | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti | | | | | | | |
| 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. | | | | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | | | |
| <u> </u> | priority under 25 LLC C S 440(a) | (4) 04 (5) | | | | | |
| a) All b) Some * c) None of: | 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). | | | | | | |
| 1. Certified copies of the priority documents | s have been received | | | | | | |
| | | on No | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | | | | | | | |
| * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | | | |
| coo the attached detailed office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| Attachment(s) | | | | | | | |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date | | | | | | | |
| 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) | 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) | | | | | | |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Other: | | | | | | | |

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

I. Claims 15-31, drawn to a feeder, classified in class 221, subclass x. 73

II. Claim 32, drawn to a surface mounting device, classified in class 29, subclass740.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

- 2. Inventions of Group II and of Group I are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require magnets. The subcombination has separate utility such as dispensing drugs.
- 3. Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art in view of their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 4. Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and the inventions require a different field of search (see MPEP § 808.02), restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 5. If the applicant elected Group I, the applicant is required to elect one of the following distinct species of the claimed invention, as disclosed below since Group I contain claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

Application/Control Number: 10/825,361

Page 3

Art Unit: 3729

Species 1: Fig. 4.

Species 2: Fig. 7.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of an allowable generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

6. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Conclusion

7. Please provide reference numerals (either in parentheses next to the claimed limitation or in a table format with one column listing the claimed limitation and another column listing corresponding reference numerals in the remark section of the response to Art Unit: 3729

the Office Action) to all the claimed limitations as well as support in the disclosure for better clarity (optional). Applicants are duly reminded that a full and proper response to this Office Action that includes any amendment to the claims and specification of the application as originally filed requires that the applicant point out the support for any amendment made to the disclosure, including the claims. See 37 CFR 1.111 and MPEP 2163.06.

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Rick K. Chang whose telephone number is (571) 272-4564. The examiner can normally be reached on 5:30 AM to 1:30 PM, Monday through Thursday.

The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (571) 273-8300 for regular communications and (571) 273-8300 for After Final communications.

RICHARD CHANG PRIMARY EXAMINER

RC

May 16, 2006